IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(*Special Original Jurisdiction*)

THURSDAY, THE THIRTEENTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND SEVEN

P R E S E N T

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.S. NARAYANA
* * *

WRIT PETITION No.24814 of 2007

Between:

Smt.G.Padmamma … Petitioner

Versus

The Mandal Revenue Officer,
Kalway Mandal, Nellore district,
Presently posted atChillakur Mandal,
Nellore district & Ors. … Respondents

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri V. SARAVANA KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondents: GOVT.PLEADER FOR CIVIL SUPPLIES

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.S. NARAYANA
* * *

WRIT PETITION No.24814 of 2005

O R D E R :

Heard the counsel.

2. This Court issued Rule Nisi on 22-11-2005 and this Court also in WPMP.No.31889 of 2005 made the following order:

> It is stated that revised show cause notice dated 25-10-2005 was served on the petitioner on 17-11-2005. It is further stated that some additional charges have been made in the revised show cause notice for the first time and the show cause notice is illegal, malafide and arbitrary.
>
> I am not inclined to appreciate these aspects. However, those things will have to be decided in the main writ petition.
>
> However, the petitioner is permitted to file explanation within ten days from today. The second respondent is directed to consider the explanation that may be filed by the petitioner within ten days from today and pass final orders, if not already passed, in accordance with law, after giving reasonable opportunity to the petitioner.

3. The writ petition is filed for a writ of mandamus to restore the Fair Price Shop licence of the petitioner immediately and to pass such other suitable orders as this Court may deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

4. Several facts had been narrated in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition commencing from paragraphs 2 to 11.

5. A Counter-affidavit had been filed by the respondents in detail denying several of the averments made in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition and also further explaining several additional facts.

6. In the light of the interim order already made by this court specified supra, no further orders need be passed in this writ petition.

7. The writ petition is accordingly closed. No costs.

JUSTICE P . S . NARAYANA .

13/09/2007.

-
-

ISL